UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,543	10/30/2003	Scott Lynn Maddux	AGLE0061	3050
22862 GLENN PATEI	7590 07/14/200 NT GROUP	EXAMINER		
3475 EDISON	WAY, SUITE L	LERNER, MARTIN		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,543	MADDUX ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARTIN LERNER	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 31 to 59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 38 to 59 is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 31 to 59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 31 to 37, drawn to explicitly chained grammars and implicitly specified contextual information for presenting video programs, classified in class 704, subclass 255.
- II. Claims 38 to 49, drawn to assembling and traversing a hierarchy of grammars, classified in class 704, subclass 275.
- III. Claims 50 to 54, drawn to constructing a hierarchy of operational instructions by adding a first operational instruction to a hierarchy of operational instructions and at least one additional operational instruction to the hierarchy of operational instructions, classified in class 704, subclass 257.
- IV. Claim 59, drawn to providing first and second means for designing a speech user interface using a chained command string and a multi-step string of commands, classified in class 704, subclass 270.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention I has separate utility such as for a

speech recognition method limited to presenting video programs. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention II has separate utility such as for creating a speech user interface where a hierarchy of grammars is initially assembled. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention III has separate utility such as for activating a first grammar and subsequently activating at least one additional grammar. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention IV has separate utility such as for providing first and second means for designing a speech user interface. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where Applicants elect a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

Art Unit: 2626

allowable, any claims depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicants are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 2626

7. During a telephone conversation with Michael Glenn on 08 May 2008, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Invention I, Claims 31 to 37. Claims 38 to 59 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

8. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 On page 22, line 1, it is noted that "Ex mplary" should be "Exemplary".
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claims 31 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claim 31 sets forth the limitations of "(2) contextual information indicating a type of data implicitly specified by the utterance" and "for each grammar that contains a user utterance having contextual information associated within, activating a further grammar based on the contextual information of a preceding grammar", which limitations involve new matter and/or are misdescriptive of the invention as originally disclosed. The independent claim defines the contextual information as relating to implicitly specified data, but Applicants' originally-filed Specification does not disclose any embodiment where an implicitly defined command activates a further grammar. Applicants' Specification, Page 12, Line 5 to Page 13, Line 16, and Page 16, Lines 21 to 26, discloses an implicit information type command, "more like this". However, there is nothing that says that there is any grammar that follows on, or is linked to, a preceding command of "more like this". Indeed, Figures 3 and 4 do not make it clear what grammars follow an explicit information type command for an information type utterance, "Robert Redford". There is some indication that an explicit information type command for an utterance "Robert Redford" is followed by a linked grammar of "Robert Redford" and "Programs Grammar", but it is certainly unclear what linked grammar would be activated by an implicit information type command of "more like this". Generally, it is unclear that the Specification discloses a linked grammar being activated for any explicit information type command. Specifically, moreover, the Specification does not disclose any linked grammar for an implicit information type

Art Unit: 2626

command. Thus, the limitations of activating a further grammar based on contextual information of a preceding grammar, where the contextual information is implicitly specified by the utterance, involves new matter.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicants' arguments filed 25 April 2008 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, necessitated by amendment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARTIN LERNER whose telephone number is (571)272-7608. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2626

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Martin Lerner/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626 July 10, 2008